How Individual Dispersal in Patchy Landscapes Affects Population Spread

Jeff Musgrave

University of Ottawa Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

- Anthropogenic disturbances lead to fragmented landscapes
- Recent work has suggested that population dynamics in heterogeneous habitats depends on the interplay between fragmentation and dispersal ability
- Major challenges: What are the possible mechanisms for individual movement behavior at an interface? How do these mechanisms affect population spread rates?

Invasive Forest Insects

- Invasive forest insects are a class of non-native species that have the ability to easily establish and spread rapidly
- Examples include the brown spruce longhorn beetle, asian longhorn beetle and emerald ash borer (EAB)
- EAB was first found near Detroit in 2002
- EAB has spread to sixteen other states, as well as, parts of Ontario and Quebec
- Invasions have severe economic impact removal costs and treatment of infested trees are estimated to be between \$20 and \$60 billion
- EAB has two dispersal vectors: local dispersal (flying) and non-local anthropogenic dispersal

Invasive Forest Insects

- Invasive forest insects are a class of non-native species that have the ability to easily establish and spread rapidly
- Examples include the brown spruce longhorn beetle, asian longhorn beetle and emerald ash borer (EAB)
- EAB was first found near Detroit in 2002
- EAB has spread to sixteen other states, as well as, parts of Ontario and Quebec
- Invasions have severe economic impact removal costs and treatment of infested trees are estimated to be between \$20 and \$60 billion
- EAB has two dispersal vectors: local dispersal (flying) and non-local anthropogenic dispersal

We aim to study how local dispersal behaviour influences population spread

EAB Life Cycle



2

Integrodifference equations are discrete-time, continuous space equations

 $N_t(x)$: Density of individuals in generation t

$$N_t \xrightarrow{\text{dynamics}} f(N_t) \xrightarrow{\text{dispersal}} N_{t+1}$$

$$N_{t+1}(x) = \int_{\Omega} k(x, y) f(N_t(y); y) \, dy$$

f(N; x): Growth function, k(x, y): Dispersal kernel Ω : Landscape in which population resides

Growth function: Homogeneous Landscape

Discrete time, non-overlapping generations

$$N_{t+\tau} = f(N_t)$$

f is continuous, monotone increasing, and is bounded above

Beverton-Holt: $f(N) = \frac{r_0 N}{1 + (r_0 - 1)N}$



Dispersal Kernel: Homogeneous Landscape

Probability of moving from y to x

$$k(x,y) = k(x-y) = k(z)$$



Dispersal Kernel: Homogeneous Landscape

Probability of moving from y to x

$$k(x,y) = k(x-y) = k(z)$$



Dispersal kernels can be derived from mechanistic movement models in the form of diffusion equations (Neubert et al. 1995):

$$u_t = Du_{xx}, \qquad u(x, 0; y) = \delta(x - y)$$

$$u_t = Du_{xx} - \alpha u, \qquad u(x, 0; y) = \delta(x - y)$$

7 / 27

Spread in Homogeneous Landscapes

Minimal speed of spread (Weinberger, 1982)

$$c^* = \min_{s>0} \frac{1}{s} \ln(RM(s))$$

asymptotic speed of spread if initial population has compact support

f is linearly bounded, R = f'(0)

Moment generating function, $M(s) = \int k(x)e^{sx} dx$

For Gaussian kernel: $c_G = \sqrt{2\sigma^2 \ln(R)}$



9 / 27

2

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

- Kawasaki and Shigesada used a Laplace kernel for a fragmented landscape; they assumed the growth function to be spatially dependent.
- Dewhirst and Lutscher included heterogeneity by allowing the variance of the kernel to depend on initial location.
- More mechanistically, VanKirk and Lewis derived kernels on a single patch with a semi-permeable boundary from a random walk model.
- Powell and Zimmerman considered a random walk model with patch dependent diffusion and settling, and used homogenization methods to derive approximate spread rates
- Robbins used almost the same model, but analyzed exact persistence conditions and spread rates

- Individual dispersal model
- Dispersal kernel
- Patchy landscapes
- Population spread

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Dispersal Model

Individuals are dispersing in an infinite, one-dimensional landscape



• λ is the step size, p is the movement probability, au is the time step

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

3

- β is the probability of dying
- α is the probability of settling
- ν is the motility coefficient

Dispersal Model

Individuals are dispersing in an infinite, one-dimensional landscape



- λ is the step size, p is the movement probability, au is the time step
- β is the probability of dying
- α is the probability of settling
- ν is the motility coefficient

u(x, t; y): probability density of finding an individual located at any location x at any time t given an initial location y

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} (\nu(x)u) - (\alpha(x) + \beta(x))u$$
$$u(x, 0; y) = \delta(x - y)$$

Dispersal Kernel

- k(x, y): probability density that a successful disperser settles at location x at the end of the dispersal period given an initial location y
- α(x)u(x, t; y) is the instantaneous rate an individual is settling at some time t

Dispersal Kernel

- k(x, y): probability density that a successful disperser settles at location x at the end of the dispersal period given an initial location y
- α(x)u(x, t; y) is the instantaneous rate an individual is settling at some time t

Assuming all individuals have died or settled by the end of the dispersal period, k(x, y) is given by

$$k(x,y) := \int_0^\infty \alpha(x) u(x,t;y) \, dt$$

Dispersal Kernel

- k(x, y): probability density that a successful disperser settles at location x at the end of the dispersal period given an initial location y
- α(x)u(x, t; y) is the instantaneous rate an individual is settling at some time t

Assuming all individuals have died or settled by the end of the dispersal period, k(x, y) is given by

$$k(x,y) := \int_0^\infty \alpha(x) u(x,t;y) \, dt$$

k(x, y) is the Green's function of a second-order, linear differential operator

$$-\delta(x-y) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left(\frac{\nu(x)}{\alpha(x)} k(x,y) \right) - \frac{\alpha(x) + \beta(x)}{\alpha(x)} k(x,y)$$

13 / 27

Homogeneous Landscape

The dispersal kernel is given by

$$k(x,0) := k(x) = \frac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{\nu(\alpha+\beta)}} \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\nu}}|x|\right)$$



< □ > < @ > < 글 > < 글 > 글 > ○ Q ↔ 14/27

- Landscape ecologists typically study populations on patchy or fragmented landscapes
- Patches are classified according to local population growth: good (source) and bad (sink)
- Parameter functions are piecewise constant
- At the boundary of a good and bad patch, we require interface conditions

Behaviour at an Interface

• Movement decisions at the interface may be different than movement rules within a particular patch

Behaviour at an Interface

• Movement decisions at the interface may be different than movement rules within a particular patch



■▼▲■▼ ■ の9

Interface Conditions

• If movement decisions at an interface are the same as movement decisions within a patch (Nagylaki, 1976):

$$u(x,0^{-}) = u(x,0^{+}), \qquad u_x(x,0^{-}) = \frac{\nu_1}{\nu_2}u_x(x,0^{+})$$

Interface Conditions

• If movement decisions at an interface are the same as movement decisions within a patch (Nagylaki, 1976):

$$u(x,0^{-}) = u(x,0^{+}), \qquad u_x(x,0^{-}) = \frac{\nu_1}{\nu_2}u_x(x,0^{+})$$

• If movement decisions at an interface and within a patch are different, two cases arise (Ovaskainen and Cornell, 2003):

Interface Conditions

• If movement decisions at an interface are the same as movement decisions within a patch (Nagylaki, 1976):

$$u(x,0^{-}) = u(x,0^{+}), \qquad u_x(x,0^{-}) = \frac{\nu_1}{\nu_2}u_x(x,0^{+})$$

• If movement decisions at an interface and within a patch are different, two cases arise (Ovaskainen and Cornell, 2003):

Different Movement Probabilities (p_i) :

$$u(x,0^{-}) = \frac{(1-z)\nu_1}{(1+z)\nu_2}u(x,0^{+}), \quad u_x(x,0^{-}) = \frac{\nu_1}{\nu_2}u_x(x,0^{+})$$
$$z_1 = \frac{1-z}{2}, \ z_2 = \frac{1+z}{2}$$

Different Step Sizes (λ_i) :

$$u(x,0^{-}) = \frac{(1-z)\sqrt{\nu_1}}{(1+z)\sqrt{\nu_2}}u(x,0^{+}), \quad u_x(x,0^{-}) = \frac{\nu_1}{\nu_2}u_x(x,0^{+})$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ ● 三 少 Q (~ 17/27)

Dispersal Kernel in a Patchy Landscape

• For illustrative purposes, we consider a patchy landscape with five good patches

•
$$\nu_1 = 2, \ \nu_2 = 1.5$$



Traveling Periodic Waves and the Spreading Speed

- Assuming that a population persists, perhaps the most important quantity to consider is the spread rate of the population and its dependence on parameters
- We analyze an IDE on an *I*-periodic landscape consisting of good patches (source) of length *I*₁ and bad patches (sink) of length *I*₂
- Model parameters are assumed to be *l*-periodic, piecewise constant and f(·; x) = f(·; x + l)
- Source: Beverton-Holt growth, i.e. $f(N) = \frac{r_0 N}{1 + (r_0 1)N}$

• Sink:
$$f(N) = r_0 N$$
, $0 \le r_0 < 1$

Traveling Periodic Waves: Numerical Example



• $\nu_1 = 1$, $\nu_2 = 2$, $\alpha_1 = 1$, $\alpha_2 = 0.5$, $\beta_1 = 0.3$, $\beta_2 = 1$

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Traveling Waves and Spreading Speeds

• Recall, the IDE is given by

$$N_{t+1}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(N_t(y); y) k(x, y) \, dy$$

 Existence of a traveling periodic wave and corresponding spreading speed follows from Weinberger, 2002; look for solutions of the form N_t(x) = N_t(x - c)

Traveling Waves and Spreading Speeds

• Recall, the IDE is given by

$$N_{t+1}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(N_t(y); y) k(x, y) \, dy$$

- Existence of a traveling periodic wave and corresponding spreading speed follows from Weinberger, 2002; look for solutions of the form N_t(x) = N_t(x - c)
- Near the wave front, we make the traveling wave ansatz

$$N_t(x) = \exp(-s(x-ct))g(x)$$

where g(x) = g(x + l), s is the shape parameter and c is the wave speed

• Linearizing the IDE, substituting in the ansatz, and recalling properties of k(x, y), one can derive the following ODE relating c and s

$$\Psi''(x) + m(x)\Psi(x)\bigg(\exp(-sc)\hat{r}(x) - 1\bigg) = 0$$

where $\Psi(x) = \frac{\nu(x)}{\alpha(x)}g(x)\exp(-sx)$ and $m(x) = \frac{\alpha(x)+\beta(x)}{\nu(x)}$

 From the ODE, we obtain a relation between the wave speed and the shape parameter of the wave. Minimizing this expression, we obtain the minimal wave speed.



• If $\nu_1 = \nu_2$, qualitative behavior of obtained spreading speeds is the same



 dashed-dot curve - continuous density
 dashed curve - different movement probabilities
 solid curve - different step size





 dashed-dot curve - continuous density
 dashed curve - different movement probabilities
 solid curve - different step size





• $l_2 = 0.5, 1, 1.5$

 dashed curve - different movement probabilities solid curve - different step size

★□> <圖> < E> < E> < E</p>

- A useful characterization of the dispersal kernel is as the Green's function of a differential operator
- Explicit modelling of individual movement rules in patchy landscapes, in particular individual responses at an interface, may lead to discontinuous dispersal kernels
- Understanding individual movement behaviour at an interface is crucial when studying population spread (and persistence!)
- Recent studies on the Emerald Ash Borer have focused on studying understanding local dispersal behaviour of EAB in newly established populations we hope to provide some insight here.

- Frithjof Lutscher
- Gabriel Andreguetto Maciel
- NSERC
- uOttawa

Questions?

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト 二日